Biowaste separation of household waste Case study of the city of Brno

Prof. RNDr. Jiří Hřebíček, CSc. MSc. Jiří Kalina Ass. Prof. Jana Soukopová

Masaryk University Brno, Czech Republic

Introduction

We present an analysis and design of a model system of biowaste management in a model city of middle or north European Union countries.

Biowaste is considered as a biodegradable component of household waste, evaluated in four types of built-up areas of the city:

- rural (suburban) area;
- villa area;
- panel housing estates and
- block-house estate areas.

Generation of biowaste in and outside the growing season is also considered and, subsequently, also all-season potential. Biowaste is considered to be specified by the European List of Waste, in particular the codes:

- 20 01 08 biodegradable kitchen and canteen waste (specifically from households);
- 20 02 01 biodegradable waste (waste from gardens in apartment and family houses) and
- biowaste from mixed municipal waste (code 20 03 01).

Introduction

- We suppose that biowaste (codes 20 01 08 and 20 02 01) is partly collected by inhabitants in collecting yards and waste collection centres in the city and transported to a central composting plant.
- However, a large part of biowaste remains in mixed municipal waste (MMW), which citizens store in small or large containers close to their dwelling. Let us suppose that MMW is collected and transported to treatment facilities in the city.
- The amount of biowaste changes in the growing season (generation of biowaste from vegetation in the spring and autumn months) and outside the growing season (winter months).
- We suppose that this city treats biowaste from MMW in an incineration plant with energy recovery and in a composting plant with separated biowaste (i.e. codes 20 01 08, 20 02 01).

Material and methods

For the support of decision-making in a hypothetical European city with an energy recovery incineration and composting plant the model for analysing and improving the system of biowaste management is developed.

It consists of four modelling steps:

- Identification of the four types of structures of the city (built-up area): rural (suburban) area; villa area; panel housing estates and block-house estate areas and the number of inhabitants there.
- Identification of potential biowaste generation from MMW in the four types of built-up area of the city and computation of its amounts, including analysis of the composition of mixed municipal waste there.
- Identification of the system of collection of biowaste from MMW to different types of containers and costs of their collection and transport to treatment facilities.
- Construction of the model of biowaste generation with different systems of collected containers and their economic assessment.

Identification of the four types of built-up areas of the city

- 1. Villa built-up area characterized by family houses without productive gardens, usually only with decorative features (lawns, shrubs and trees). Biowaste and MMW from houses in the villa built-up area can be collected in small containers (typically 120 l) located at each house individually. The placement of a composter in most cases is possible, but one can expect a lower willingness for composting because of the poor quality of the substrate consisting mostly of grass and tree leaves and branches (inappropriate C/N ratio).
- 2. Rural (suburban) built-up area characterized by family houses with productive gardens, where one can place a composter. Biowaste and MMW from houses in rural built-up areas can be collected in small containers (typically 120 l) located at each house individually (owned by the waste collection company or property owner). Location of a composter is possible in most cases. Currently experiencing gradual conversion of part of the rural built-up area to a villa built-up area in several EU countries.

Identification of the four types of built-up areas of the city

- 3. Panel housing estate area characterized by panel housing estates and residential buildings constructed without interior courtyards and gardens. Here there is typical collection of biowaste and MMW from large-volume containers (1,100 l) and an inability to operate the compost.
- 4. Block house estate area characterized by older residential houses carefully articulated in the intensive development of the city centre. Collection of MMW is mostly in small containers (120 l) placed in individual houses with a larger numbers of flats that are shared by the inhabitants. The location of a composter in block buildings is possible in inner blocks and courtyards of apartment buildings, but one can expect the population to be less willing to compost because of more flats sharing one composter and inhabitants with more difficult opportunities in producing compost.

Identification of potential biowaste generation during the year

Let us identify the following input parameters in the BIOWASTE model specific for the given city:

- The annual generation of mixed municipal waste (MMW) per capita in each built-up area. We denote these variable MMWi, i=vil,rur,pan,blo. They are calculated in the unit [kg/inhabitant/year]. We denote the MMW array/vector with elements MMVi for future implementation in the program MS Excel. Usually they can be taken from the annual waste reporting of the city, which is obligatory from waste legislation.
- The portion of biowaste contained in MMW in the given built-up area of the city. For the whole of the city it is specified by four coefficients ki, i=vil,rur,pan,blo. We denote the K array/vector with elements ki for future implementation in the program MS Excel. They are quoted as the number of percentages i.e. from 0 % to 100 %. This usually results of analysis of MMW composition in built-up areas of the city.

Identification of potential biowaste generation during the year

• The distribution of generated biowaste in individual months of the year in built-up areas. It is measured as the proportion of full-year production, i.e. as the number of percentages (i.e. from 0 % to 100 % from January to December in the built-up areas. These parameters are denoted as

$$dj.i$$
, $i=1,...,12$, $j=vil$, rur , pan , blo .

• We denote the matrix D of 12 rows and 4 columns which contains d j.i elements. They are usually the result of analysis of MMW composition in built-up areas during the year .

The above parameters *Cit, Z, MMW, K, D* are assumed as constant and valid in the designed model for all considered variants of the system of collection of biowaste and MMW and their transport to the biowaste treatment facility.

Identification of the system of collection of biowaste in different types of containers and costs of collection and transport to treatment facilities.

Let us denote model variable input parameters:

• The willingness of inhabitants to participate in the collecting/composting biowaste to the given type of containers in the built-up area. These parameters indicate the proportion of inhabitants of the given built-up area willing to use that type of container (small or larger) or composter, i.e. as a percentage number (i.e. from 0% to 100%). These parameters are denoted as

$$wil_{j,i}$$
, i = $small$, $large$, $comp$, j = vil , rur , pan , blo .

• We denote the matrix WIL of 3 rows and 4 columns which contains $wil_{i,j}$ elements. They are usually estimated from questionnaires completed by inhabitants of built-up areas or from other sociological investigation. The willingness of inhabitants to have large containers can be assumed 100%, because it depends usually on the city administration decision and does not depend directly on the willingness of inhabitants.

Identification of the system of collection of biowaste in different types of containers and costs of collection and transport to treatment facilities.

• The reachable (optimal) proportion of small or large containers and composters in different built-up areas. These proportions are specified between 0% and 100% and are denoted as

 $opt_{i,i}$, i=small,large,comp, j=vil,rur,pan,blo.

- We denote the matrix OPT of 3 rows and 4 columns which contains $opt_{i,j}$ elements. We assume $opt_{pan.comp} = 0$, it characterises that in the panel building built-up area it is not possible to cover the compostable biowaste by composters, because it is not technically possible to have a place for them.
- The volume of large container Vol_{large} specified in unit [I] and the maximum frequency f_{large} of the collection of large containers in the year with unit specified as [number of days].
- The volume of small container Vol_{smal} specified in unit [I] and the maximum frequency f_{smal} of the collection of small containers in the year with unit specified as [number of days].

Identification of the system of collection of biowaste in different types of containers and costs of collection and transport to treatment facilities.

- The average volume of the composter Vol_{comp} specified in unit [I] and the frequency f_{comp} of the variations of the contents of the composter in the year. The unit is specified [number of days].
- We denote arrays/vectors VOL and F with elements Vol_i and f_i,
 i=small,large,comp.
- The density ρ of biowaste in the unit of [kgm⁻³].

Based on the above parameters ctive BIOWASTE model was designed and implemented in MS Excel. The model is described as follow:

BIOWASTE(Citizen, Cit, MMW, k, D, WIL, OPT, VOL, F, Fc, Vc, δ , ρ , Tc, P, opt, L, S, C, CC, CT)

where Citizen, Cit, MMW, k, D, WIL, OPT, VOL, F, Fc, Vc, δ , ρ and Tc are input parameters and the outputs of the BIOWASTE arel P, opt, L, S, C, CC, CT.

The potential amount p_m of biowaste generation from MMW in the city and the individual calendar months is defined as

 $p_m = Z_{vil}k_{vil}MMW_{vil}d_{vil,m} + Z_{rur}k_{rur}MMW_{rur}d_{rur,m} + Z_{pan}k_{pan}MMW_{pan}d_{pan,m} + Z_{blo}k_{blo}MMW_{blo}d_{blo,m}$

where index *m* represents a calendar month (from 1 to 12).

The total potential P of biowaste generation from MMW in the city is

$$P = \sum_{m=1}^{12} p_m .$$

We can specify the optlarge:

$$opt_{large} = (Z_{vil}k_{vil}MMW_{vil}opt_{vil.large} + Z_{rur}k_{rur}MMW_{rur}opt_{rur.large} + Z_{pan}k_{pan}MMW_{pan}opt_{pan.large} + Z_{blo}k_{blo}MMW_{blo}opt_{blo.large})/P,$$

where Z_{i} , k_{i} and MMW_{i} are input constant parameters and $opt_{i,j}$ input variable parameters for i=vil,rur,pan,blo and j=large, small. Similarly, we determine the optimal share of biowaste opt_{smal} collected in small containers and the optimal share of biowaste

 opt_{comp} composted in composters.

The number of large containers L_i , i=vil,rur,pan,blo, for the given type of built-up area can be estimated from the knowledge of the real proportion of biowaste collected in large containers in i-th built-up area and the willingness of the inhabitants to place a large container at their house (specifically in the case of large containers, $wil_{i,large}$ is expected to be equal 100%, since the containers are placed on public places and managed by the municipality):

$$L_{i} = \min(opt_{i.large}; wil_{i.large}) \cdot Citizen \cdot Z_{i}MMW_{i} \cdot k_{i}/(Vol_{large}/1000 \cdot \rho)/(365/f_{large})$$

Then the number L of total large containers in the city is

$$L = L_{vil} + L_{rur} + L_{pan} + L_{blo}$$

The number $S = S_{vil} + S_{rur} + S_{pan} + S_{blo}$ of small containers in the city and the number $C = C_{vil} + C_{rur} + C_{pan} + C_{blo}$ of composters in the city can be estimated similarly; however the number C_{pan} of composters in panel housing estate areas will be close to zero (because of very low willingness $wil_{pan.comp}$).

The costs of collected biowaste usually consist of a fixed cost (expenditures associated with the container itself, such as initial costs, leasing, cleaning etc.) and a variable cost depending on the frequency/ period of the biowaste collection. We can express the overall costs CC_i , i=large, small of the collection of biowaste in the large, small containers as follows:

$$CC_i = Fc_i \cdot L + Vc_i \cdot L \cdot 365/f_i \tag{6}$$

where Fc_i and Vc_i denotes fixed and variable costs of biowaste collection (price list items according to a biowaste collection company), see Table 1.

The costs CT_i , of the waste treatment in *i*-the built-up area *i*=*vil*, *rur*, *pan*, *blo* are dependent only on the amount of the waste and the entry price to the waste treatment facility:

$$CT_{l} = Tc \left(\frac{\min \left(\left(L_{l} \cdot \frac{Vol_{large}}{f_{large}} + S_{l} \cdot \frac{Vol_{smal}}{f_{smal}} \right) \cdot \frac{365}{1000} \cdot \rho; Citizen \cdot Z_{l} \cdot k_{l} \cdot MMW_{l}}{1000} \right)$$

$$(7)$$

where the minimum value is selected from the maximum capacity of the biowaste collection system consisting of sum of large and small containers (it is not possible to collect more biowaste than was generated or than the capacity of the system) and the lower amount from the maximum possible biowaste generation in the given villa built-up area.

Application of the BIOWASTE model in decisionmaking

Scenario 1: Collecting biowaste especially in large containers Vol_{large} =1,100 | located in public spaces with absolute willingness of inhabitants to sort biowaste from MMW, where we choose parameters $wil_{i.large}$ =100%, $wil_{i.smal}$ =0%, $opt_{vil.large}$ =100%, $opt_{vil.smal}$ =0%, i=rur,pan,blo. It assumes an idealized situation where all the inhabitants involved in the biowaste management system with a total preference of large containers are willing to sort biowaste. There is, therefore, no collection in small containers located at each of the properties or any home composting. In this case, we can assume that the number of containers will be based only the needs of the collection of biowaste that residents are willing and able to sort from MMW and the distribution of containers in the city will be optimized so that the containers are sufficiently filled and avoid being overfilled. Denote output parameters P^1 , opt^1 , L^1 , S^1 , C^1 , CC^1 and CT^1 .

Scenario 2: Collecting biowaste in small containers located at individual properties in addition to housing estates and half of the block estates, where we choose the parameters $wil_{rur.smal} = 100\%$, $wil_{vil.smal} = 100\%$, $wil_{blo.smal} = 50\%$, $wil_{pan.smal} = 0\%$, $wil_{l.large} = 100\%$, $opt_{i.smal} = 100\%$, i=vil, rur, pan, blo. We consider only small containers with the capacity $Vol_{smal} = 120$ l, located at individual estate houses (one container may be shared by more flats, we assume that the average flat is occupied one and half persons). Denote output parameters P^2 , opt^2 , L^2 , S^2 , C^2 , CC^2 and CT^2 .

Application of the BIOWASTE model in decisionmaking

- Scenario 3: Combination of large containers in the panel built-up areas with half of small containers, in block built-up areas and only small containers in the villa and rural built-up areas (according to the number of households), i.e. $wil_{pan.large}$ =100%, $wil_{blo.smal}$ =50%, $wil_{vil.smal}$ =100%, $wil_{rur.smal}$ =100%. This is identical to Scenario 2 with the collection of biowaste in large containers from panel housing estates and 50% of block house estate, which are not covered by small containers. This covers the whole included part of the population by either large or small containers. We obtain output parameters P, pot, L, S, C, CC and CT. The costs roughly correspond to the total costs of Scenarios 1 and 2, decreased in the area of family houses, where biowaste is not collected in large containers. Denote output parameters P^3 , pot^3 , L^3 , S^3 , C^3 , CC^3 and CT^3 .
- Scenario 4: Combination of large containers in the panel built-up areas with half of composters in the block built-up areas and only composters in the villa and rural built-up areas (according to the number of households). This is identical to Scenario 3, in which small containers are replaced with the same numbers of composters with zero cost to the collection of biowaste from MMW. Denote output parameters P⁴, opt⁴, L⁴, S⁴, C⁴, CC⁴ and CT⁴.

Case study of the city of Brno

Brno is the second largest city in the Czech Republic by population and area, the largest Moravian city, and historical capital city of the Margraviate of Moravia. Brno is the administrative centre of the South Moravian Region in which it forms 29 separate districts (Brno-City Districts). We analysed all the districts of the city of Brno to determine their four built-up areas. We used the last statistical census of the city Brno from the Czech Statistical Office , as the interactive map of each district of

the city of Brno .



Brno waste management system

The waste management system of the city of Brno consists of three basic subsystems:

- The subsystem of collection of MMW (black MMW containers are located at individual properties) on a 230 km² area of the city by the company SAKO, whose MMW energy is recovered by in its incinerator.
- The subsystem of the collection by SAKO of separated material recyclable components of municipal waste in special containers placed on publicly accessible locations throughout the whole territory of the city (blue for paper, green and white for glass, and yellow for a mixture of PET-bottles, beverage cartons and aluminium beverage containers), which passes through sorting units and is recycled.
- The subsystem of 37 waste collection centres (WCC), operated by SAKO, where residents can place biowaste (in 9 and 14 m³ containers), bulky waste (which due to its size and nature cannot be stored in MMW collection containers), construction and demolition waste, material recycling components of municipal waste (paper, PET bottles, beverage cartons, glass, metals, biowaste, etc.) and hazardous components of municipal waste.

Brno biowaste management system

The city of Brno currently allows residents to submit all sorted biowaste only to the WCC. Biowaste collection through the WCC was launched in 2007. From this year all WCC were equipped for the collection of biowaste.

Composters in the city of Brno

Composter\Year	2012	2013	2015	2016	Sum of
	[units]	[units]	[units]	[units]	volume
					[1]
K390	350				784,680
K720	10				7,200
K400		500		150	260,000
K950				290	275,500
ThermoKing900		30			270,00
Thermostar1000			200		200,000
Total composter volume [I]	791,880	227,000	20,0000	335,500	1,554,380

Content of biowaste in mixed municipal waste

Biowaste is a quantitatively significant part in MMW in the city of Brno. Determining the exact proportion of biowaste in the MMW is problematic [6-9, 11-13]. Its amount varies depending on the season, the type of built-up area and the living standards of the population.

However, many analyses of the composition of the MMW have been processed in the city of Brno by the company SAKO since 1999 [11-12]. We evaluated these analyses from 2010 and obtained

- the proportion d_{vil} =34% of biowaste in MMW in the villa built-up areas of the city of Brno,
- the proportion $d_{rur}=22.2\%$ of biowaste in MMW in the rural built-up areas of the city of Brno,
- the proportion d_{pan} =16.4% of biowaste in MMW in the panel housing estate areas of Brno and
- the proportion d_{blo} =16.4% of biowaste in MMW in the block house estate areas of Brno [14].

Input data to model

Parameter [unit]	Villa areas	Rural areas	Panel estate	Block estate
			areas	areas
Ratio of inhabitants living in built-up area [%]	9.9	11.2	58.6	20.3
Generation MMW per capita [kg/year]	186.7	166.7	176.7	176.7
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in year [%]	34.1	22.2	16.4	16.4
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in January [%]	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in February [%]	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in March [%]	8.1	8.1	8.1	8.1
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in April [%]	8.9	8.9	8.9	8.9
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in May [%]	10.1	10.1	10.1	10.1
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in June [%]	10.3	10.3	10.3	10.3
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in July [%]	7.0	7.0	7.0	7.0
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in August [%]	7.9	7.9	7.9	7.9
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in September [%]	11.8	11.8	11.8	11.8
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in October [%]	13.0	13.0	13.0	13.0
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in November [%]	16.0	16.0	16.0	16.0
Proportion of biowaste in MMW in December [%]	3.9	3.9	3.9	3.9
Willingness to place a large container [%]	100	100	100	100
Willingness to place a small container [%]	70	70	0	50
Willingness to place a composter [%]	50	75	0	25
Reachable (optimum) proportion of small containers [%]	5	5	100	5
Reachable (optimum) proportion of large containers [%]	55	30	0	70
Reachable (optimum) proportion of composters [%]	40	65	0	25
Density of biowaste [kgm ⁻³]				270
Volume of large container [I]				1,100
Maximum frequency of the collection of large containers in the year [day]				14
Fixed costs per large container [€]				50
Variable costs per large container [€]				250
Volume of small container [I]				120/240
Maximum frequency of the collection of small containers in the year [day]				7/14

. Outputs of the BIOWASTE model in Scenarios 1, 2, 3 and 4.

Sce n.	Collected biowaste [t]	Eff. [%]	Number of large container s [units]	Number of small container s [units]	Number of composter s [units]	Biowaste collection costs	Biowaste treatme nt costs [€]	Costs per ton [€/t]
1	7,191	56	472	0	0	64,134	100,677	22,92
2	6,231	49	0	33,566	0	887,391	87,242	156,40
3	8,199	64	150	33,566	0	907,773	114,797	124,71
4	2,232	18	150	0	33,566	20,382	31,537	23,05

Proposal of an optimal variant of biowaste management

- Home composting in the mode of waste prevention will be preferred over separate biowaste collection and its processing, where technically possible, the operation of the domestic composters;
- In the case of biowaste collection in separate containers, they will not be placed on the ground outside the premises of the owners of property, i.e. mostly these containers apply only in villa and rural built-up areas (small containers up to 240 l) or panel housing built-up areas, where it is possible to place the containers into collection nests (large containers 1,100 l);
- Coverage of rural and villa built-up areas will be 100% made up of small containers, in block building areas, of 50% of small containers and 50% in large containers and in panel built-up areas, of 100% of large containers;
- Distribution of small containers will comprise 25% with a volume of 120 l and 75% with a volume of 240 l, large containers will all have a volume of 1,100 l;
- The number of small containers in villa, rural and partly in block built-up areas will be defined by the number of houses (1 container per 1 house), in panel built-up areas and part of the block built-up areas the number of containers is defined by the estimated generation of biowaste;
- In the period December to February monthly biowaste collection will take place, the rest of the year a two-week biowaste collection period will take place.

Conclusion

- The developed BIOWASTE model of generation, collection and treatment of biowaste with appropriate constant and variable parameters.
- The potential of biowaste is considered as the biodegradable component of household waste, evaluated in four types of built-up areas of the city: rural (suburban) areas; villa areas; panel housing estates and block-house estate areas.
- The distribution of biowaste in the growing season (the generation of waste from the green) and outside the growing season (winter months) is also considered and, subsequently, the all-season potential.
- The willingness of inhabitants to collect and separate biowaste is also incorporated into the parameters of the BIOWASTE model.
- Biowaste is considered to be specified by the European List of Waste, in particular the codes: 20 01 08 biodegradable kitchen and canteen waste (specifically from households); 20 02 01 biodegradable waste (waste from gardens in apartment and family houses) and biowaste from mixed municipal waste (code 20 03 01).
- BIOWASTE model is enabling the analysis of potential scenarios of biowaste management from the economic point of view.
- A case study of the current biowaste management system of the city of Brno in the Czech Republic is presented.
- The evaluation of economic costs and benefits of the various proposed scenarios for the city of Brno is also presented, including the quantity of generated biowaste, the number of collected containers, the cost of container rental, collection costs and costs for material recovery facilities.

Thank you for attention

Question?

Prof. RNDr. Jiří Hřebíček, CSc. E-mail: hrebicek@iba.muni.cz