5th International Conference on Sustainable Solid Waste Management, Athens 2017

The transition towards a bio-based economy: a social network analysis

Enrica Imbert, Luana Ladu, Almona Tani*, Piergiuseppe Morone





*Presenting author: almona.tani@uniroma1.it

Research Objectives:

The influence of different policy strategies on the emergency of a bio-plastics niche Comparative assessment of bioplastics niches in Italy and Germany

Theoretical Approach

Multi-level Perspective

Strategic Niche Management framework

Policy Strategy Framework



Empirical Strategy

Stakeholder analysis

• identify relevant actors involved in the Italian and German bioplastics industries

Two focus groups (Government, Research, Industry, Trade Organizations)

- identification of five most relevant firms for each country
- development of a questionnaire

Survey

- Introductory Section: General data
- Section I: Expectations, Challenges, Innovation
- Section II: Networking and Powerful actors



Methodology

Survey administered online through Qualtrics

Roster-recall method for a final list of 30 Italian and 24 German firms

Social Network Analysis: UCINET 6 (Borgatti et al., 2002)

To control for the presence of:

- informal knowledge exchange
- formal technology transfer
- labour/researchers exchange

Among firms and between firms and other external actors

Indicators:

- density
- inclusiveness
- clustering coefficient
- network centralisation



Results (I)

Introductory section: General data

German bioplastics industry:

- mostly composed of large firms
- mostly specialized in intermediate bio-based materials and compounds production

Italian bioplastics industry:

- characterized by SMEs
- mostly specialized in the production and/or commercialization of bio-based shoppers and bioplastics cutlery



Results (II)

Section I: Expectations

	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly agree
Bioplastics will gradually replace traditional		3 C	31	6 I	3
plastics		3.0	2G	8 G	2 G
Current technologies allow the production of	1.0	6 G	20	6 G	1 G
bioplastics in an economically efficient way	10	3	20	8 I	
Future technological development will allow the			3 G	10 G	26
production of bioplastics in an economically		1G	21	61	20
efficient way by 2030			21	01	51
The production of bioplastics is sustainable from		2 G	1 G	7 G	3 G
an environmental point of view		11	40	6 I	4 I
The production of bioplastics will become more				9 G	3 G
sustainable from an environmental point of view			4 G	51	61
by 2030				51	01

In both countries, the majority of participants believe that in 5 or 10 years there will be an acceleration of the bioplastics demand



Results (III)

Section I: Main Challenges and Innovation activity

	Not Relevant	Somehow relevant	Relevant	More Relevant	Strongly Relevant
Lack of demand	21	4 G 3 I	3 G 2 I	4 G 2 I	1G 2 I
Lack of investment	1 G	3 G 3 I	5 G 4 I	6 G 1 I	2 G 1 I
Technological constraints		5 G 1 I	7 G 5 I	1 G 3 I	3 G 1 I
Lack of regulation	1 G	4 G	3 G 3 I	4 G 4 I	5 G 2 I
Lack of long-term supportive policies	1 G 1 I	1 G	2 G 4 I	8 G 4 I	4 G 2 I
Dominant market share held by competitors	1 G 1 I	3G 2 I	4 G 4 I	7 G 2 I	2 G 1 I
Strong competition on product quality, reputation or brand	1 G 1 I	3 G 1 I	7 G 2 I	4 G 4 I	2 G 2 I
Lack of qualified labor	6 G	4 G 3 I	5 G 2 I	2 G 3 I	11

Very few firms has registered patents and/or trademarks (only the large ones) Very few firms has been funded by public institutions for their innovation activity Most of them fund their innovation activity with own funding



Results (IV)

Section II: Social Network Analysis



Network	Nr. Of ties	Density	Inclusiveness	Clustering coefficient (overall graph)	Network Centralisation
Informal knowledge (IT)	80	2.3 %	73.33%	0.401	51.13%
Informal Knowledge (Ge)	70	0.9%	64.4%	0.008	33.17%



Results (V)

Section II: Social Network Analysis



Network	Nr. Of ties	Density	Inclusiveness	Clustering coefficient (overall graph)	Network Centralisation
Labour exchange (IT)	27	0.8%	38.33%	0.141	16.46%
Labour exchange (Ge)	9	0.1%	12.6%	0	8.11%



Results (VI)

Section II: Social Network Analysis

Fig. 4 Joint patent network in the Italian bioplastics network	Fig. 5 Patent Licensing network in the Italian bioplastics network		
Note: $Triangles = firms$; $rectangles = research$ in	nstitutes/universities; plus = public institutions, circles		

Italian Network	Nr. Of ties	Density	Inclusiveness	Clustering coefficient (overall graph)	Network Centralisation
Joint Patent	2	0.1%	5%	0	3.39%
Patent Licensing	2	0.1%	5%	0	3.39%



Conclusions

Firms in both niches share high level of expectations on the future development of the bioplastics industry

In both countries there are still a lot of challenges undermining the bioplastics niche development

The Italian network of informal knowledge share is more dense and centralized, instead the German network is more heterogeneous

The two different policies implemented by Italy promoting the demand-side and by Germany fostering the supply-side has generated two different types of niche that must learn from each other's experiences:

The Italian bioplastics niche needs to be more inclusive with respect to institutions, research centres and NGOs

The German bioplastics niche needs more formal and structured exchange of knowledge, intensifying staff exchange, patent licensing and joint patent development

