Methods for Analysis of Copper from WEEE Cables When Present in High Weight Percent





Eleanor Lewis

P. Douglas, R. Charles, D. Bates Moss, G. Liversage





Overview

Introduction to the Project

Overview of the Recycling Process

Project Aim

Methods Explored

Samples

Results

Conclusions and Future Work

Introduction to the Project

- MSc by Research student at Swansea University working in partnership with Mekatek Ltd.
- WEEE pre-processing company
- Copper scrap cables are received at ~25, 45 and 65 wt% copper
- Value of copper output fraction is critically dependent on its wt% Cu
- Key grades are 98, 99 and 99.5 wt %
- Current method: scoop sampling and XRF gun average Fig



Figure 1. Cables in their raw form (top), cables after shredder (middle), copper from cables in final form (bottom)







Project Aim

Evaluation of methods for in-house analysis of copper from cable recycling with high accuracy and precision (i.e. to within one standard deviation of ≤0.25%)



- Analytical methods were assessed against a number of criteria, including:
 - Accuracy
 - Precision
 - Relative operator skill
 - Relative cost
 - Waste produced
 - Sample preparation
- In order to:
 - Prevent economic loss when selling fractions
 - Solve conflicts between recycler and refiner

Methods Explored





Samples

- Two ~100 g samples of the copper output fraction were obtained in granular (sample 1) and powder (sample 2) form
- •4 sub-samples created, \sim 6 g each
- Samples for bulk analysis methods were digested in 50/50 nitric acid/water
- Samples for XRF analysis were kept in original form and also ground to smaller particle size





Figure 2. Sample 1 (top) and sample 2 (bottom)



Figure 3. Samples digested in 50/50 nitric acid/water (Titration, Atomic Emissions, UV/VIS, Gravimetric)



	Assessment of the bulk analysis methods against the									
Method	Selectivi ty to Copper	Sources of Error	Presisiu on	i fectura fi	e Cost	Relati ve Skill	Sample Preparati on	Volum e of Waste	Suitabil ity	
EDTA Titration	Low	Interference through EDTA complexatio n with other metals.	Excellen t	Good	Low	Mediu m	Medium	High	Low	
Atomic Emission Spectrosco py	High	No obvious interferences	Good	Excellen t	High	High	Medium	Medium	Medium	
UV/VIS	High	Coloured complexes from other metals. Plastics scattering light.	Very Good	Excellen t	Medium	Mediu m	Medium	Medium	High	
Gravimetry	Medium	Loss of precipitate. Incomplete	Excellen t	Excellen t	Low	High	Medium	High	Medium	
Precision 0.5%), Go	and accu od (> ± 0	racy i keyioEx .5%)r	cellent	(≤ ± 0.2	5%), Ve	ry goo Green desira	d (≤ ± - more de ble	esirable,	red - le	

Assessment of XRF analysis

Method	Selectivi ty to Copper	Sources of Error	Precisi on	Accurac y	Relati ve Cost	Relati ve Skill	Sample Preparati on	Volume of Waste	Suitabili ty
XRF (unground)	High	High presence of	Excellen t	Excellen t	Mediu m-High	Mediu m	Low	Low	High
XRF (ground)	High	organics reduces reliability	Excellen t	Excellen t	Mediu m-High	Mediu m	Low	Low	High
Precision 0.5%), Go	and accu ood (> ± 0	Insensiti racy key 5%)hics.	: Excelle	nt (≤ ± (0.25%),	Very go Gree	ood (≤ ± n - more c	lesirable,	, red - less

Remove plastics via alternative method private analysis



Effect of plastic content on the reliability of XRF analysis

Standard deviation between readings on the same sub-sample at different wt% plastic content



Wt% of plastic:Copper 20:80, 15:85, 10:90, 5:95, 2:98, 1:99, 0.5:99.5, 0:99.9



UV VIS vs XRF

Method	Pros	Cons
UV/Vis	 Meets required precision Analysis of absolute copper wt% regardless of plastic content Tests whole sub-sample via homogenous solution 	 Cost of instrument More sample preparation More waste produced
XRF	 Meets required precision Already own the instrument 	 Only tests a fraction of the sub-sample Insensitive to organics



Conclusions and Future Work

- Have identified UV/VIS as a reliable method for accurate and precise sample analysis
- XRF displayed high enough precision but its reliability decreases significantly after 2 wt% plastic content
- Need more work on sampling procedure to make sure sampling variation is within required precision and accuracy
- Develop technique to maximise XRF suitability by sample pre-treatment to remove plastic



Thank you

Eleanor Lewis

eleanorlewis07@gmail.com

07949385804



EDTA Titration

The fire en inchicatory display feared if ferent colleurint o where invalcomplex with the metal

 $metal - indicator + EDTA \rightarrow metal - EDTA + indictor$

- The concentration of copper in solution can be calculated using the volume and concentration of EDTA used and the volume copper solution used
- The concentration of copper in solution can be calculated using the volume and concentration of PEP ACUSed and the volume copper solution used



$$\frac{C_1 V_1}{V_2} = C_2$$

Atomic Emission Spectroscopy

 The wavelength of light emitted is characteristic to each element, therefore the concentration of the element can be determined by

intensity of the emission and ch

Instrument: Agilent **Technologies 4200 MP-AES**





Figure 2. Illustration of the measured emission photons from atoms after entering the excited state (Source: http://light.physics.auth.gr/enc/wavelength en.html)

UV/VIS

- · Complexation twitth TAD TAD TA eu speerfisper of to phato formed a cooper red
- The coloured complex is run against a blank and the difference in absorbance is
- The coloured complexe is our against a dilaink and the difference in absorbance is used to calculate the copper concentration in Intensity of absorption is prop. To the concentration of copper
- Beer Lambert Law Intensity of absorption is prop. To the concentration of copper
- Beer-Lambert Law

$$Abs = \varepsilon lc$$

Instrument: Unicam UV300 UV/VIS



Gravimetry

- Copper in solution forms a solid compound with the help of a precipitating agent and precipitates out of solution as a white solid
- The weight of the precipitate is compared to the original weight of the sample and a wt% calculated
- Copper is precipitated as an insoluble complex and the precipitate is filtered and the complex is weighed



XRF

- Electrons are ejected due to excitation by primary x-ray
- Vacancy is filled by electron from a higher shell, emitting a secondary x-ray of characteristic energy specific to each element



Figure 3. Illustration of the electron ejection and emission of secondary x-rays (Source: http://www.nitonuk.co.uk/pdf/Niton%20XRF%20Guide.pdf)
Instrument: Niton XL2 GOLDD XRF Analyser



Method	Sample	Copper content wt%	Standard Deviation	Instrumen tal Standard Deviation	Sample to sample Standard Deviation
Titration	1	100.27	±0.40	±0.13	±0.44
	2	-	-	-	-
MP-AES	1	97.66	±3.80	±2.17	±3.68
	2	98.06	±2.19	±1.43	±2.07
UV/VIS	1	99.44	± 1.60	±0.46	±1.72
	2	99.67	±1.29	±0.36	±1.41
Gravimetric	1.1	99.33	±0.17	±0.17	-
	2	-	-	-	-
XRF (unground)	1 (raw)	99.64	±0.18	±0.13	±0.16
	2 (raw)	99.76	±0.07	±0.09	±0.00
XRF (ground)	1 (ground)	99.77	±0.06	±0.07	±0.00
	2 (ground)	99.78	±0.06	±0.04	±0.00

Results: EDTA Titration

Sampl e	Coppe r conten t wt%	SD	95% CI	99% CI	Instru menta I SD	Sampl e SD
1	100.27	±0.40	±0.26	±0.36	±0.13	±0.44
2	-	-	-	-	-	-



Results: Atomic Emission Spectroscopy

Sampl e	Coppe r conten t wt%	SD	95% CI	99% CI	Instru menta I SD	Sampl e SD
1	97.66	±3.80	±2.41	±3.41	±2.17	±3.68
2	98.06	±2.19	±1.39	±1.96	±1.43	±2.07

• Instrument: Agilent Technologies 4200 MP-AES



Results: Spectrophotometry (UV/VIS)

Sampl e	Coppe r conten t wt%	SD	95% CI	99% CI	Instru menta I SD	Sampl e SD
1	99.44	±1.60	±1.01	±1.43	±0.46	±1.72
2	99.67	±1.29	±0.78	±1.10	±0.36	±1.41

Instrument: Unicam UV300 UV/VIS



Results: Gravimetric

Sampl e	Coppe r conten t wt%	SD	95% CI	99% CI	Instru menta I SD	Sampl e SD
1.1	99.33	±0.17	±0.42	±0.96	±0.17	-
2	-	-	-	-	-	-



Results: XRF

Sampl e	Coppe r conten t wt%	SD	95% CI	99% CI	Instru menta I SD	Sampl e SD
1 (raw)	99.64	±0.18	±0.11	±0.16	±0.13	±0.16
2 (raw)	99.76	±0.07	±0.07	±0.11	±0.09	±0.00
1 (groun d)	99.77	±0.06	±0.04	±0.06	±0.07	±0.00
2 (groun d)	99.78	±0.06	±0.06	±0.09	±0.04	±0.00

Instrument: Niton XL2 GOLDD XRF Analyser

