TO-ENERGY TECHNOLOGIES IN THE PHILIPPINES USING ANALYTIC HIERARCHY PROCESS

N. I. Magleo¹and <u>M.A.B. Promentilla</u>^{2,3}

¹Biology Department, De La Salle University, Manila, 1004, Philippines
²Department of Chemical Engineering, De La Salle University, Manila, 1004, Philippines
³Center for Engineering and Sustainable Development Research, De La Salle University, Manila, 1004, Philippines



Where I am workin



- Scimago Institution Ranking 714
- THE World Ranking 801+
- Assessed at institutional level by AUN QA
- 3000+ Scopus-indexed publications
- QS World Ranking 801+
- QS Asian Ranking 155

- 1,100 academic staff (40% full time)
- 14,000 undergraduate students
- 4,500 graduate students
- 11 research centers, 4 research support offices, 1 technology business incubator
- 8 colleges, 36 academic departments
 - ✓ Br. Andrew Gonzalez College of Education (BAGCED)
 - ✓ College of Computer Studies (CCS)
 - ✓ College of Law (COL)
 - ✓ College of Liberal Arts (CLA)
 - ✓ College of Science (COS)
 - ✓ Ramon V. Del Rosario College of Business (RVRCOB)
 - ✓ School of Economics
 - Gokongwei College of Engineering (GCOE)



The World is





About Manila and



Google



Research motivation

Philippines' generated waste in tons/day 41.000





Source: National Solid Waste Management Commission (NSWMC), 2017



Facts and Figure

- 323 local government units of 1634 (LGUs) have accessed to a total of 135 sanitary landfills
- Only 10,052 Material Recovery Facilities (MRFs) out of the
 42,035 total barangays in the Philippines (2018)
- **423** illegal dumpsites (closure)





Management Act (RA9003)

- From Open dump site to Sanitary Landfill.
- Material Recovery Facility (MRF) for every Barangay.
- **Ban Incineration** (Article 1, Section 2)

"Ensure the proper segregation, collection, transport, storage, treatment and disposal of solid waste through the formulation and adoption of the best environmental practice in ecological waste management excluding incineration;"



Research Questi

What are the critical



barriers in implementing waste-to-energy such as incineration projects in the country?



Methodologica framework



- Analytic Hierarchy Proce
- Key Informant Interview Government Sector
 - Technical Experts
 - Civil Society





10

Main Issues

Ginancial Affordability

- Implementability
- Social Acceptability
- Environmental Effectiveness



DECISION STRUCTURE





AHP

Criteria	Aggregated Weights and Rank	Barrier	Aggregated Weights and Rank
Financial Affordability (FA)	0.096 (4)	High capital costs	0.726 (1)
		High costs involved in the purchase and installation of monitoring equipment of the government	0.274 (2)
Implementability (IM)	0.443 (1)	Undefined and/or overlapping role of key persons/ agencies/ stakeholders involved	0.059 (5)
		Lack of policy to support the implementation of incineration	0.500 (1)
		Variation in the amount of generated waste and high fraction of food and organic waste	0.174 (2)
		Absence of monitoring mechanism	0.098 (4)
		Lack of experts for planning, operation and monitoring of incineration plants	0.169 (3)
Social Acceptability (SA)	0.141 (3)	Lack of knowledge or information on incineration	0.372 (2)
		Perceived threat or danger	0.446 (1)
		Lack of public participation in decision-making process	0.182 (3)
Environmental Effectiveness (EE)	0.320 (2)	Large amount of heavy metals and dioxin/furans	0.644 (1)
		Contribution to climate change	0.220 (2)



CONCLUSION

- Implementability or the technical and administrative feasibility of Waste-to-Energy is the most critical issue.
- The lack of policy support and concerns on the large amount of emitted heavy metals and their on dioxins/furans are the most critical barriers to the implementation of Waste-to-Energy projects such as projects.



Let's build a CLEANER and HEALTHIER Future

14

Thank you for listening!



Questions and Comments are Welcome

E-mail: michael.promentilla@dlsu.edu.ph

