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The target of the research

to evaluate the mechanical pre-treatment of unsorted and partly sorted municipal solid waste by carrying out the analysis of **waste composition and properties** using different sorting lines in Latvia.

**The legislative proposals on waste:**
EU target for recycling 65% of municipal waste by 2030;
EU target for recycling 75% of packaging waste by 2030;
A binding landfill target to reduce landfill to maximum of 10% of municipal waste by 2030;
A ban on landfilling of separately collected waste and main tools how to reach those targets.
Materials

Flow 1 - Non-sorted municipal waste;
Flow 2 - Partly sorted municipal waste – paper and plastics are separated at source;
Flow 3 - Partly sorted municipal waste – biological waste (kitchen and green waste) is separated at source.
Methods and Materials

Each selected truckload were weighed and the mass balance were established. A representative waste samples were taken with the grab method.

The following parameters for Coarse fraction were determined using the Standards:

- moisture content – LVS EN 15414-3:2011;
- net calorific value – LVS EN 15400:2011;
- chlorine content – LVS EN 15408:2011;
- sulphur content – LVS EN 15408:2011;
- ash content – LVS EN 15403:2011;
- content of trace elements (As, Ba, Be, Cd, Co, Cr, Cu, Hg, Mo, Mn, Ni, Pb, Sb, Se, Tl, V and Zn) – LVS EN 15411:2012;
- content of major elements (Al, Ca, Fe, K, Mg, Na, P, Si, Ti) – LVS EN 15410:2012;

The energy content was measured using a bomb calorimeter Berthelot Mahler C.Co. The equipment used for elemental analysis was a Thermo Scientific FlashEA 1112. For metal analysis was used spectrometer CLR-7K’ XRF.
Three options of pre-treatment facilities for three Flows

Unclassified solid waste
- Manual pre-sorting
  - Shredding
    - Drum screen
      - Magnetic separation
        - Manual sorting
          - Coarse fraction for RDF or SRF production
          - Reject - stones, WEEE, hazardous;
            Recyclables - Glass, Metals
  - Big waste

Partly sorted solid waste
- Manual pre-sorting
  - Shredding
    - Magnetic separation
      - Disc screen
        - Separation of metals
          - Fine fraction for technical compost production
          - Metals
          - Medium fraction for RDF production or Landfilling
          - Metals
          - Coarse fraction for RDF or SRF production
        - Ferrous Metals
      - Coarse fraction for RDF or SRF production
  - Big waste

Partly sorted solid waste
- Manual pre-sorting
  - Bag breaker
    - Drum screen
      - Magnetic separation
        - Manual sorting
          - Coarse fraction for RDF or SRF production
          - Recyclables - PET, Cardboard, Glass, Metals
          - Medium fraction for RDF production or Landfilling
          - Metals
          - Coarse fraction for RDF or SRF production
  - Big waste
  - Ferrous Metals
  - Reject - stones, WEEE, hazardous;
  - Recyclables - PET, Cardboard, Glass, Metals
Results – Composition of incoming municipal solid waste (mass, %)
## Fractions of municipal solid waste after mechanical pre-treatment for flows 1-3 (mass %)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Fractions</th>
<th>Flow 1</th>
<th>Flow 2</th>
<th>Flow 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>After drum screener</td>
<td>After disc screener</td>
<td>After drum screener</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coarse fraction</td>
<td>53 (&gt;60 mm)</td>
<td>22 (&gt;80 mm)</td>
<td>68 (&gt;60 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium fraction</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>40 (25-80 mm)</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine fraction</td>
<td>45 (&lt;60 mm)</td>
<td>35 (&lt;25 mm)</td>
<td>30 (&lt;60 mm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metal</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reject material:
- 2.3% stones and ceramics;
- 1.3% food waste

Recyclables material:
- 10% glass;
- 1.7% PET;
- 4% cardboard;
- 0.5% aluminium;
- 0.6% metal;
The mean values of the parameters of coarse fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Coarse Fractions</th>
<th>Moisture, %</th>
<th>NCV, MJ kg⁻¹</th>
<th>Ash, %</th>
<th>Cl, %</th>
<th>S, %</th>
<th>N, %</th>
<th>C, %</th>
<th>H, %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>After drum screener in Flow 1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>5.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After disc screener in Flow 2</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>7.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>After drum screener in Flow 3</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Chemical content of ashes for coarse fractions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Unit</th>
<th>Coarse fraction after drum screener in Flow 1</th>
<th>Coarse fraction after disc screener in Flow 2</th>
<th>Coarse fraction after drum screener in Flow 3</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hg</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≤0.4</td>
<td>≤0.5</td>
<td>≤0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cd</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tl</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≤0.3</td>
<td>≤0.3</td>
<td>≤0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br</td>
<td>M.-%</td>
<td>0.002</td>
<td>0.008</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>M.-%</td>
<td>≤0.001</td>
<td>≤0.0008</td>
<td>≤0.0001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sb</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>As</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≤0.4</td>
<td>≤0.6</td>
<td>≤0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cr</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Co</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pb</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mn</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ni</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sn</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V</td>
<td>mg kg&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>≤13</td>
<td>≤14</td>
<td>≤10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions

1. The mean energetic parameters for pre-treated mechanically sorted coarse fraction in Flow 3 responds to limits stated for 3th class of SRF (EN15359) - Net calorific value is $\geq 15$ MJ/kg; Chlorine is $\leq 1\%$; Mercury $\leq 0.08$ Mg/MJ (Median) and $\leq 0.16$ Mg/MJ (80th percentile).

2. Results showed that pre-shredding and screening of the wet non-sorted (Flow 1) or partly sorted (Flow 2) municipal solid waste by the equipment of waste separation do not ensure preparation of qualitative material for production of the fuel.

3. The biologically degradable waste separation at the source is necessary to lower moisture and ash content and higher heating value for potential fuel production from waste.
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