# Modelling of nitrous oxide emissions from an A2/O process treating municipal wastewater

# Theoni M. Massara<sup>1,2</sup>, Albert Guisasola<sup>3</sup>, Evina Katsou<sup>1,2</sup>, Juan Antonio Baeza<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Mechanical, Aerospace and Civil Engineering, Brunel University London, Uxbridge Campus, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, Uxbridge, UK.

<sup>2</sup>Institute of Environment, Health and Societies, Brunel University London, Uxbridge Campus, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, Uxbridge, UK.

<sup>3</sup>Departament d'Enginyeria Química, Biològica i Ambiental, Escola d'Enginyeria, Universitat Autònoma de Barcelona, Cerdanyola del Vallés (Barcelona), 08193, Barcelona, Spain.

# Corresponding author: Theoni M. Massara

E-mail: Theoni.Massara@brunel.ac.uk

**Abstract:** Nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O) is a greenhouse gas significantly contributing to the greenhouse effect and potentially generated during the biological nutrient removal in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). The 3 possible microbial pathways for the N<sub>2</sub>O production are the incomplete hydroxylamine oxidation, the nitrifier denitrification and the heterotrophic denitrification. The first two, both followed by Ammonia Oxidising Bacteria (AOB), are considered as the most important N<sub>2</sub>O production mechanisms. In this work, a modified version of the IWA ASM2d model expanded to describe nitrification and 4-step denitrification was combined with a 2-pathway model describing N<sub>2</sub>O production by AOB. The ultimate goal was the development of a mathematical tool predicting the N<sub>2</sub>O emissions out of municipal wastewater treatment data. Although low dissolved oxygen (DO) is applied to decrease the energy requirements in WWTPs, our model estimates that low DO (<1.8 mgL<sup>-1</sup>) combined with a high influent ammonium (NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>) concentration (>20.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup>) are conditions working to the advantage of partial nitrification and triggering N<sub>2</sub>O production through the nitrifier denitrification pathway.

Keywords: N<sub>2</sub>O, ASM2d, A2/O, DO, AOB

# Introduction

In wastewater treatment, biological nutrient removal processes constitute a potential hotspot for the generation of greenhouse gases (i.e. carbon dioxide (CO<sub>2</sub>), methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) and nitrous oxide (N<sub>2</sub>O)). CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O have a global warming potential which is, respectively, 25 and 265 times higher than the one of CO<sub>2</sub> in a 100-year period (IPCC, 2013). As a consequence, N<sub>2</sub>O production and emission are research topics of great interest considering N<sub>2</sub>O's big contribution to the greenhouse effect. The IWA Activated Sludge Models (ASM) (Henze *et al.*, 2000) are a widely used tool for the description of nitrogen (N) and phosphorus (P) removal in wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs). However, they do not include N<sub>2</sub>O production and quantification.

Past studies have investigated the microbial pathways for  $N_2O$  production and suggested the following three: nitrifier denitrification, incomplete hydroxylamine oxidation and heterotrophic denitrification. The first two are activated by ammonia oxidising bacteria (AOB). Amongst these three mechanisms, the two AOB-related ones are regarded as the principal  $N_2O$  production routes. The aim of this work was to integrate a detailed prediction of the  $N_2O$  production and emission in the model-based description of full-scale WWTPs performing COD, N and P removal.

#### **Material and Methods**

An ASM2d model including nitrification and 4-step denitrification (expanded from Guerrero *et al.*, 2011) was coupled with a 2-pathway model for  $N_2O$  emissions by AOB developed by Pocquet *et al.* (2016). Table 1 shows the 38 processes included in our model.

The kinetic model was developed in MATLAB for an anaerobic/anoxic/oxic (A2/O) configuration. The influent composition data were typical wastewater values from the municipal WWTP of Manresa (Catalonia, Spain) (more info on the Manresa WWTP available in Machado *et al.*, 2014). The maximum emission factor, defined as the percentage of N transformed to N<sub>2</sub>O with respect to the total N-amount in the influent, was calculated for each scenario under steady-state operation. At this point, it shall be underlined that the percentage of N transformed to N<sub>2</sub>O included both the N<sub>2</sub>O in the effluent (i.e. dissolved N<sub>2</sub>O) in addition to the amount of the produced N<sub>2</sub>O which was transformed into gas; stripping was modelled, too. Steady-state was achieved by simulating the WWTP with constant influent composition for a period of 200 d.

#### SWWS Conference

# **Results and Conclusions**

The first aim was to determine the effect of changing conditions on the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor. This involved simulating the fluctuation of the following: dissolved oxygen (DO) concentration in the aerobic reactor, influent ammonium  $(NH_4^+)$  concentration, influent COD values, influent flow rate, internal recycling ratio. The second target was to test the impact of different sets of kinetic parameters for the growth and the decay of the AOB and NOB (Nitrite Oxidising Bacteria) populations. The first set was taken from the study of Hiatt and Grady (2008) and the second from Jubany *et al.* (2009). In spite of the different absolute values of the two parameter sets, the trials for different fluctuating conditions revealed similar trends for both parameter groups. In terms of effect on the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor, the DO and the influent  $NH_4^+$  concentration appeared as the most crucial factors.

The combined effect of aerobic DO and influent  $NH_4^+$  on the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor under the AOB parameters of Hiatt and Grady (2008) is presented in this abstract. The DO tested range of concentration was 0.01-4.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup>; influent NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> concentration was tested for values from 10.0 to 40.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup>. According to the results,  $NH_4^+$  was not oxidised and nitrification did not occur for DO<0.8 mgL<sup>-1</sup>. The maximum N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor was 27.2% as a combination of DO around 1.1 mgL<sup>-1</sup> along with the highest influent  $NH_4^+$  concentration tested (40.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup>). A general observation is that the highest emission factors appeared within the range of 1.0<DO<1.8 mgL<sup>-1</sup> (Figure 1). The latter suggests that full nitrification did not happen in the low DO environment. Thus, partial nitrification (NH4<sup>+</sup> oxidation to nitrite (NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup>)) occurred and, then, N<sub>2</sub>O was generated via the nitrifier denitrification pathway. The DO increase from 1.8 mgL<sup>-1</sup> and onwards enhanced nitrification. As a consequence, the accumulation of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-1</sup> decreased and less N<sub>2</sub>O was produced through the nitrifier denitrification pathway. After that, the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor followed a decreasing trend reaching approximately the value of 2.0% at the higher DO concentrations. All things considered, the developed model estimates that DO<1.8 mgL<sup>-1</sup> combined with a high influent  $NH_4^+$  concentration (>20.0 mgL<sup>-1</sup>) favour partial nitrification and induce N<sub>2</sub>O production through the nitrifier denitrification pathway. In one hand, low DO conditions are a way to reduce the energy requirements during the biological N-removal in wastewaters with low COD content. On the other hand, our results show that partial nitrification is possible under these conditions. Hence, the high N<sub>2</sub>O emissions which are likely occur will be possibly translated into an overall carbon footprint beyond the desired limits.

# Acknowledgements

This project has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under the Marie Skłodowska-Curie (grant agreement No 645769). T.M. Massara is also grateful to the Natural Environment Research Council (NERC) of the UK for the 4-year full PhD studentship. J.A. Baeza and A. Guisasola are members of the GENOCOV research group (Grup de Recerca Consolidat de la Generalitat de Catalunya, 2014 SGR 1255).

# References

- Guerrero, J., Guisasola, A., Baeza, J.A. (2011) 'The nature of the carbon source rules the competition between PAO and denitrifiers in systems for simultaneous biological nitrogen and phosphorus removal', *Water Research*, 45, pp. 4793–4802.
- Henze, M., Gujer, W., Mino, T., van Loosdrecht, M. (2000) 'Activated Sludge Models ASM1, ASM2, ASM2d and ASM3', IWA Publishing, London, UK.
- Hiatt, W. C., Grady, C. P. L. (2008) 'An Updated Process Model for Carbon Oxidation, Nitrification, and Denitrification', *Water Environment Research*, 80 (11), pp. 2145-2156.
- IPCC (2013) 'Climate Change 2013: the physical science basis', Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK.
- Jubany, I., Lafuente, J., Baeza, J. A., Carrera, J. (2009) 'Total and stable washout of nitrite oxidizing bacteria from a nitrifying continuous activated sludge system using automatic control based on Oxygen Uptake Rate measurements', *Water Research*, 43 (11), pp. 2761-2772.
- Machado, V.C., Lafuente, J., Baeza, J.A. (2014) 'Activated sludge model 2d calibration with full-scale WWTP data: comparing model parameter identifiability with influent and operational uncertainty', Bioprocess and Biosystems Engineering, 37, pp. 1271-1287.
- Pocquet, M., Wu, Z., Queinnec, I., Sperandio, M. (2016) 'A two pathway model for N<sub>2</sub>O emissions by ammonium oxidizing bacteria supported by the NO/N<sub>2</sub>O variation', *Water Research*, 88, pp. 948-959.

Hydrolysis	<b>P1</b>	Aerobic hydrolysis	Phosphorus-	P20	Anoxic storage of XPP
processes		5 5	accumulating		$(2^{nd} \text{ step: } NO_2)$
-	P2	Anoxic hydrolysis	organisms	P21	Aerobic growth of XPAO
		$(1^{\text{st}} \text{ step: NO}_3)$	(PAOs):		
	P3	Anoxic hydrolysis	XPAO	P22	Anoxic growth of XPAO
		$(2^{nd} \text{ step: NO}_2)$			$(NO_3 \rightarrow NO_2)$
	P4	Anaerobic hydrolysis		P23	Anoxic growth of XPAO:
					$(NO_2 \rightarrow NO)$
Heterotrophic	P5	Aerobic growth on SF		P24	Anoxic growth of XPAO: (NO $\rightarrow$ N <sub>2</sub> O)
organisms:	P6	Aerobic growth on SA		P25	Anoxic growth of XPAO: $(N_2O \rightarrow N_2)$
XH	P7	Denitrification on SF		P26	Lysis of XPAO
		$(NO_3 \rightarrow NO_2)$			
	P8	SF: Anoxic growth of		P27	Lysis of XPP
		heterotrophs (NO <sub>2</sub> $\rightarrow$ NO)			
	P9	SF: Anoxic growth of		P28	Lysis of XPHA
		heterotrophs (NO $\rightarrow$ N <sub>2</sub> O)			
	P10	SF: Anoxic growth of	Nitrifying	P29	NH <sub>3</sub> oxidation to NH <sub>2</sub> OH with oxygen
		heterotrophs ( $N_2O \rightarrow N_2$ )	Organisms		consumption
	P11	Denitrification on SA		P30	NH <sub>2</sub> OH oxidation to NO coupled with
		$(NO_3 \rightarrow NO_2)$	-		oxygen reduction (XAOB growth here)
	P12	SA: Anoxic growth of		P31	NO oxidation to $NO_2$ coupled with
		heterotrophs ( $NO_2 \rightarrow NO$ )	-		oxygen reduction
	P13	SA: Anoxic growth of		P32	NO reduction to $N_2O$ coupled with the
		heterotrophs (NO $\rightarrow$ N <sub>2</sub> O)			$NH_2OH$ oxidation to $NO_2^-$
	P14	SA: Anoxic growth of		P33	$HNO_2$ reduction to $N_2O$ coupled with
		heterotrophs (N <sub>2</sub> O $\rightarrow$ N <sub>2</sub> )			NH <sub>2</sub> OH oxidation to NO <sub>2</sub>
	P15	Fermentation		P34	Aerobic growth of XNOB
	P16	Lysis		P35	Lysis AOB
Phosphorus-	P17	Storage of XPHA		P36	Lysis NOB
accumulating	P18	Aerobic storage of XPP	Precipitation	P37	Precipitation
organisms	P19	Anoxic storage of XPP	of P with	P38	Redissolution
(PAOs):		$(1^{st} step: NO_3)$	Fe(OH)3		
XPAO					

**Table 1.** List of the 38 processes included in our ASM2d model for 4-step nitrificationdenitrification combined with a 2-pathway model for  $N_2O$  production by AOB.



**Figure 1.** Combined effect of applying different DO and influent  $NH_4^+$  concentration on the N<sub>2</sub>O emission factor. Parameters for the growth and the decay of the AOB (Ammonia Oxidising Bacteria) and NOB (Nitrite Oxidising Bacteria) were taken from Hiatt and Grady (2008).

6